

1 RICHARD R. PATCH (State Bar No. 88049)  
2 HOWARD A. SLAVITT (State Bar No. 172840)  
3 HANNAH JONES (State Bar No. 299418)  
4 COBLENTZ PATCH DUFFY & BASS LLP  
5 One Montgomery Street, Suite 3000  
6 San Francisco, California 94104-5500  
7 Telephone: 415.391.4800  
8 Facsimile: 415.989.1663  
9 Email: [efilingrrp@coblenzlaw.com](mailto:efilingrrp@coblenzlaw.com)  
10 [efilinghas@cpdb.com](mailto:efilinghas@cpdb.com)  
11 [ef-hlj@cpdb.com](mailto:ef-hlj@cpdb.com)

12 Attorneys for Defendants

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

14 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SACRAMENTO DIVISION

15 NICHIA LEASER, ATCHARA WONGSAROJ,  
16 KATINA MAGEE, and JOYCE EISMAN,  
17 individually, and on behalf of others similarly  
18 situated,

19 Plaintiffs,

20 v.

21 PRIME ASCOT, L.P., a California limited  
22 partnership, *et al.*,

23 Defendants.

24 Case No. 2:20-CV-02502-TLN-AC

25 **STIPULATION AND ORDER TO  
26 EXTEND TIMES TO FILE AND  
27 RESPOND TO AMENDED  
28 COMPLAINT**

Case No. 2:20-CV-02502-TLN-AC

Judge: The Honorable Troy L. Nunley

Trial Date: None Set

STIPULATION AND ORDER

TO EXTEND TIMES TO FILE AND RESPOND TO AMENDED COMPLAINT

1 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b) and Civil Local Rule 144, Plaintiffs and  
2 Defendants, by and through their undersigned counsel of record, hereby stipulate with respect to  
3 Plaintiffs' time to file a Second Amended Complaint in response to this Court's Order dated June  
4 15, 2022, and Defendants' time to file a responsive pleading to that amended complaint, as  
5 follows:

6 WHEREAS, on June 15, 2022, this Court electronically filed its Order granting  
7 Defendants' Motion for Reconsideration, and granted Defendants' motion to dismiss the claims  
8 against the Standing Defendants with leave to amend ("Order") (ECF No. 34);

9 WHEREAS, pursuant to the Order, the Court struck Plaintiffs' Second Amended  
10 Complaint (ECF No. 30) from the docket, and ordered that Plaintiffs may file an amended  
11 complaint not more than 30 days from the electronic filing date of the Order, which would be on  
12 or before July 15, 2022;

13 WHEREAS, the Order further provided that Defendants shall file a responsive pleading  
14 not more than 21 days after the electronic filing date of the amended complaint;

15 WHEREAS, due to Defendants' counsel's pre-existing vacation plans and conflicting  
16 commitments on other matters, the Parties have agreed to extend the dates for Plaintiffs to file  
17 their amended complaint and for Defendants to file a responsive pleading as set forth below; and

18 WHEREAS, the Parties have not previously obtained any extensions of time with respect  
19 to these filing dates, and they do not enter into this stipulation for the purposes of delay.

20 **STIPULATION**

21 NOW THEREFORE, the Parties hereby stipulate and agree, subject to the Court's  
22 approval, as follows:

23 Plaintiffs' time to file an amended complaint consistent with the Order shall be extended  
24 from July 15 to July 29, 2022; and

25 Defendants' time to file their responsive pleading shall be extended to August 26, 2022.

26

27

28

1                   **SO STIPULATED:**

2                   DATED: July 1, 2022                   COBLENTZ PATCH DUFFY & BASS LLP

3

4                   */s/ Howard A. Slavitt* \_\_\_\_\_

5                   HOWARD A SLAVITT

6                   Attorneys for Defendants

7                   DATED: July 1, 2022                   NICHOLAS & TOMASEVIC, LLP

8

9                   */s/ Ethan T. Litney* \_\_\_\_\_

10                  ETHAN T. LITNEY

11                  Attorneys for Plaintiffs

12

13                  **IT IS SO ORDERED**

14                  DATED: July 5, 2022

15                    
Troy L. Nunley  
United States District Judge